

## GEOLOGICAL HAZARDS ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES MONITORING IN MAYSAN AREA By USING REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES AND GIS

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE Council of
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF BASRAH AS A PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN GEOLOGY

#### $\mathbf{BY}$

#### Masar Mahmood Khalf AL-Saedi

#### **Supervisors**

Assist. Prof. Dr.Sahar T.Almulla

Assist. Prof.Dr.Riaed S.Jassem

2017 1438 A.H



#### بِيْدِ مِرَّاللَّهِ ٱلرَّحْمَزِ ٱلرَّحِيمِ

### وَمَا تَوْفِيقِي إِلَّا بِاللَّهِ ۚ عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَإِلَيْهِ أُنِيبِ (88)

صدق الله العلّي العظيم

((الآية 88)) سورة هود

# To Those Who are the candles in my life; "My Parents"

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

To Those
Who always encourage me;

"Brothers & Sisters"

#### Certification

We certify that the thesis entitled "Geological Hazards Assessment and Environmental Changes Monitoring by Using Remote Sensing Techniques and Geographic Information Systems" which is being submitted by Masar Mahmood Khalf has been prepared under our supervision at the University of Basrah, as a partial fulfillment for the degree of Master of Science in Geology.

Signature:	Signature:
Name: <b>Assist. Prof. Dr.Sahar T.Almu</b> (Supervisor)	Name: Assist. Prof.Dr. Riaed S.Jassem (Supervisor)
Date: / /	Date: / /
In view of the available recommendatio Examining Committee.	n, I forward this thesis for debate by the
	Signature:
	Name: Prof. Dr. Abbas H. Mohammed
	Title: Professor
	Address: Head of Geology Dept.
	College of Science University of Basrah

Date: / /

#### **Committee Report**

We certify that we have read this thesis titled "Geological Hazards Assessment and Environmental Changes Monitoring by Using Remote Sensing Techniques and Geographic Information Systems" which is being submitted by Maser Mahmood Khalf as Examining Committee, examined the student in its contents. In our opinion, the thesis is adequate for award of degree of Master of Science in Geology.

Signature:		Signature:
Name:		Name:
(Superv	isor)	(Supervisor)
Date: / /		Date: / /
Signature:		Signature :
Name:		Name:
(Men	nber)	(Member)
Date: / /		Date: / /
	Signature:	
	Name:	
	()	Chairman)
	Date: / /	
		Signature:
		Name: Dr. Falhi A. AIi
		Title: Professor
		Address: (Dean of the College of Science
		Date: / /

#### Acknowledgments

**First** I would like to express my thankfulness to **Allah**, the Most Merciful who has granted me the ability and willingness to start and complete this study, Allah accept this modest effort.

I would like to express my gratitude to the following individuals for their support throughout this study: Sincerely I would like to thank my advisors **Assist. Prof. Dr.Sahar T.Almulla** and **Assist. Prof. Dr.Riaed S.Jassem** for their, guidance, encouragement continuous support and collaboration during the entire period of my research.

I would like to express my appreciation especially to **Prof. Dr. Falhy Abdul-Hasan Ali** (Dean of College of Science), **Prof. Dr. Abbas H. Mohammed** (Head of Geology Department) and my regards are extended to all instructors in Geology department.

Finally, I would like to thank my lovely family and my friends for their continual support throughout my research

Maser

#### **Abstract**

The remote sensing and GIS techniques are used to study the geological hazards in Maysan, southeastern of Iraq. The methodology of this project including monitoring the environmental changes using Landsat MSS, TM, ETM+ and OLI scenes to cover the study area for periods (1976 - 2016). these data were processed digitally using a number of specialized software (ERDAS 2014 image, ENVI 4.7 and GIS Programs) in order to enhance the colors and elevations and to producing hazard maps. environment degradations have a significant impact on people lives and property, satellite images data are largely adopted due to its cost effectiveness, short temporal operation and a large area of coverage. These data carried out with GIS software to identify two type of geological hazard zones (GHZ) geomorphologic hazard zones, and (THZ) tectonic hazard zones(.The change detection was monitored through applying unsupervised and supervised classification for land covers for many years. in the end, seven main land cover classes are appeared and used the Tasseled Cap transformation (TCT), Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Aeolian mapping Index (EMI) and Water body Index (WI) for the period (1976-2016). the geometrical and color enhancement procedures of ERDAS used to develop accuracy acceptable GIS maps. These maps used to classify the surface units, surface topography, and soil types, identified the slope unit risk, and geomorphology unit risk, each geomorphology unit related with geohazared that occurs within it. Slope and elevation maps, slope direction and satellite image used to identify the desertification zone, flooding zone, are an indication that the high potential flooding in the Eastern parts of the Maysan region and the zones of high erosion paths are also denoted. The desertification and flooding hazards were restricted in the northeastern parts of the study area. the lineaments analysis with Morphtectonic indices appears that the eastern parts are tectonically active. The THZ and GHZ used to compile hazard zones and risk classification. the risk zone divided into four zones while the hazards are divided into three zones.

#### **Abbreviations**

**GPS** Global Positioning System

**NASA** National Aeronautics and Space Administration

**USGS** United States Geological Survey

**DEM** Digital Elevation Modal

**3 D** Three Dimension

**GIS** Geographical Information System

MSS Multispectral Scanner System

**ETM+** Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus

**NDVI** Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

**PCA** Principle Component Analysis

SIR Shuttle Imaging Radar

IR Infrared

**ASTER** Advanced Space borne Thermal Emission Reflection

**LANDSAT** Land Satellite

TM Thematic Mapper

TC Tasseled Cap

**FCC** False Color Composite

**ERDAS** Earth Resources Data Analysis System

**UTM** Universal Transverse Mercator

**RGB** Red-Green-Blue

HIS Intensity-Hue-Saturation

**NDWI** Normalized Difference Water Index

**NDSDI** Normalized Difference Sand Dune Index

**EMI** Eolian Mapping Index

**GHZ** Geomorphologic Hazard Zones

**THZ** Tectonic Hazard Zones

#### **Contents**

Title		Page No.
Dedication		Ι
Certificatio	n	II
Committee	Report	III
Acknowled	gments	IV
Abstract		V
Abbreviation	ons	VI
Contents		VIII
List of Figu	ire	XI
List of Tab	le	XVI
	Chapter One :Introduction	
1.1	Preface	1
1.2	Geologic Hazards in Maysan Area	2
1.3	Aim of the study	3
1.4	Location of Study Area	3
1.5	Climate	3
1.5.1	Rainfall	5
1.5.2	Temperature	8
1.5.3	Wind Speed and Direction	11
1.5.4	Relative Humidity	13
1.5.5	Dust Storm	14
1.5.6	Evaporation	15
1.6	General Geology of Study Area	16
1.6.2	Stratigraphic	19
1.6.3	Topography	20
1.6.4	Tectonic and Structural Situations	20
1.6.5	Geomorphology of Study Area	22
1.6.6	Hydrology of Study Area	25
1.6.7	Previous Studies	27
	Chapter two: Methodology	
2.1	Introduction	28
2.2	Data Collections	29

2.2.1	Satellite Images	30
2.2.2	Maps	33
2.2.3	Software Programs	33
2.3	Field Work and Laboratory work	38
2.4	Digital Image Processing	40
2.4.1	Radiometric Enhancements	41
2.4.2	Spectral Enhancements	43
2.4.2.1	Principal Component (PCA)	44
2.4.2.2	Red Green Blue to Intensity Hue Saturation (RGB to IHS)	44
2.4.2.3	Intensity Hue Saturation (IHS)	45
2.4.3	Spatial Enhancement For Image	47
2.4.3.1	Convolution	48
2.4.4	Color Composite Images	58
2.4.5	False Color Composite Image	59
	Chapter three: Mentoring Environment change	
3.1	Change Detections	61
3.2	Change Detections Techniques	62
3.2.1	Spectral Signatures	62
3.2.2	Digital Classifications	68
3.2.2.1	Unsupervised Classification	69
3.2.2.1.1	Change Detection by Unsupervised Classification Statistics	72
3.2.2.2	Supervised Classification	73
3.2.2.3	Serial Digital Classification of Flood Disaster	78
3.2.3	Image Differencing	84
3.2.4	Image Indices	84
3.2.4.1	Vegetation Indices	87
3.2.4.1.1	The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)	87
3.2.4.2	The Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI)	89
3.2.4.3	Desertification Indices	92
3.2.4.4	Eolian Mapping Index (EMI	93
3.3	Tasseled Caps Transformation	95
3.4	Normalized Difference Sand Dune Index	97
	Chapter Four : Geological Hazard	
4.1	Introduction	98
4.2	Preparation of Geohazared Map	100
4.2.1	Flood Risk Map	100
4.2.2	Flood Risk Map Parameters	100

4.2.2.1	Climatic Factors	100
4.2.2.2	Geologic Factors	102
4.2.2.2.1	Geomorphology Unit Map	102
4.2.2.2.1.1	Topography Analysis	102
4.2.2.2.2	Soil Classification and Lithology Ccover Surface	110
4.2.2.3	Hydrology	112
4.2.2.3.1	Drainage Basin Catchment	112
4.2.2.3.2	Drainage Area	112
4.2.2.3.3	Basin Perimeter & Basin Shape	113
4.2.2.3.4	Network Drainage Properties	114
4.2.2.3.5	Basin Flows Properties	116
4.3	Main Geological Hazard	118
4.3.1	Flooding Hazard	118
4.3.2	Desertification Hazards	123
4.3.3	Structural Lineaments Analysis	128
4.4	Morph Tectonic Indices	134
4.5	Oil field domes	139
4.6	Active tectonic related with geologic hazards	141
4.7	Land use and land covers	145
4.8	4.8rded and Risk zone	147
	Chapter Five : Geological Hazard	
5.1	Discussion and Conclusion	154
5.2	Recommendations:	157
	References	159

#### List of Figure

No.	Title	Pag e
1-1	MODIS aqua 2016 Satellite Image of Flood Hazards in Maysan Area and Neighborhood	3
1-2	Location of Maysan Area	2
1-3	Average Monthly Rainfall of Al- Amara Station in (mm) for Period (1980-2016)	7
1-4	MODIS Terra Satellites Image Taken in 1/1/2016 of The Rainy Storm	7
1-5	Satellite Image Terra SAR-X Show a Muddy River Loaded Sediment in Iranian Sides Through Rainy Storms	8
1-6	Monthly Rainfall Trends for The Period (1980-2015)	8
1-7	Average Monthly Temperature of Amara Station (Co) for The Period (1980-2016)	10
1-8	Monthly of Temperature Trend of the period (1980-2016)	10
1-9	The Hot Dome of Maximum Heats Over Middle Southern Iraq 2015&2016 according to WMO	11
1-10	Vortex of Air Columns Over Myasan Areas in 2016	11
1-11	Average Monthly Wind Velocity Recorded at Amara Station (m/sec) for The Period (1980-2016)	12
1-12	Average Monthly Relative Humidity (%) of Amara Station for the Period (1980-2016).	13
1-13	MODIS Aqua Satellite Image in 20/2/2016 Over Maysan area Pointed The Dust Storm	14
1-14	Average Monthly Evaporation of AL-Amara Station for the Period (1980-2016).	15
1-15	Geological Map of Maysan area	18
1-16	Surface Elevations of Study Area From DEM	20
1-17	Tectonic Map of Maysan Area	22
1-18	Contour Map of Study area by DEM	23
1-19	Distribution of Ground Water Will in the Maysan area	25
2-1	Flow Chart of the Methodology in the Study	28
2-2	Landsat Satellite Images Covering the Maysan Area	30
2-3	ASTER Satellite Images Covering The Maysan Area	31
2-4	Steps and Techniques of Image Processing	34
2-5	Image Processing Steps in ENVI 4.7(Ratios, and Morphology).	35
2-6	Image Processing Steps in ENVI 4.7(Ratios, and Morphology	36

2-7	Extraction Steps of Lineaments in Geomatica Software	37
2-8	Paths and The Most Sites of Field Work Visited in the Maysan Area	39
2-9	Laboratory Work	40
2-10	Histogram Equalization process Between Two of Satellite Image Before, and After Application Process	42
2-11	Brightness Inversion Technique OLI Landsat Image Represents Clearly the Flood Water Effects in Maysan Area	43
2-12	Technique of PCA on OLI, Landsat Images of the Maysan Area	45
2-13	Technique RGB to IHS in OLI, Land sat of Study area showing The Flood Waters Flowing from Folded Lands	46
2-14	HIS to RGB Transformation applied in OLI. Land sat Satellite Image of study area	46
2-15	HIS to RGB Transformation applied in OLI, Landsat Satellite Image, Observes the Sand Seas and in Right side and Lineament in left.	47
2-16	Convolution Technique Applied on OLI Landsat Image Shows Fault traces, Lineaments and Fault Escarpments	49
2-17	The Convolution Technique Applied on OLI Land sat Image Show Fault Trace & Lineaments Near Maysan Marshes	50
2-18	The Convolution Technique applied on OLI Landsat Image Show Lineaments Along the Tigris River	50
2- 19	The Convolution Technique High & Low Pass Applied on OLI Landsat Image show Fault trace, Lineament Part of alluvial Fan and Dune fields	51
2- 20	The Convolution Technique High & Low Pass applied on OLI Landsat Image shown Ali AlGarbi Area	51
2-21	Adaptive Filter applied to Satellite Images of the study area	52
2-22	Adaptive Filter applied to Satellite Images of the study area	53
2-23	Morphology Filter in Satellite Image showing Floods and Torrents water	55
2-24	Morphology Filter in Satellite Image showing floods and Torrents water	56
2-25	Morphology Filter in Satellite Image showing fault, Lineament traces and V- shape canyon valleys	56
2-26	Texture Technique applied in OLI, Land sat Image	56
2-27	RGB Shaded Relief Technique to OLI, Land sat Image	57
2-28	RGB Shaded Relief Technique to OLI, Land sat Image show the Fault, Lineament traces and the Geologic and Structural Feature	58
2-29	Color Composites 567 OLI, Land sat Satellite Image Maysan area	59
2-30	Color Composites 234 MSS, Landsat satellite Image Maysan, Ali Algarbi	60
3-1	Spectral Profile Curves of Different Earth Materials	63
3-2	Spectral Reflectance Curves of Some Environmental Units Since 1976	64
3-3	Spectral Reflectance Curves of Some Environmental Units since 2016	64

3-4	Represented the Spectral Reflectance Curves of some Environmental Units	65
3-4	in (a) January, (b) July 2016.	0.5
3-5	Represented the Spectral Reflectance Curve for Ali Algarbi regions since Flooding in January 2016	66
3-6	Represented the Spectral Reflectance Curve for Ali Algarbi Area in July 2016	66
3-7	Represented the Spectral Reflectance Curve for Eastern Fans of Maysan area since Flooding in January 2016.	67
3-8	Represented the Spectral Reflectance Curve for Eastern Fans of Maysan area since July 2016	67
3-9	Unsupervised Classification of Maysan Area in 1986	70
3-10	Unsupervised Classification of Maysan Area in 1986	70
3-11	Unsupervised Classification of Maysan Area in 2016	71
3-12	Unsupervised Classification of Maysan Area in 2016	71
3-13	Variables and Statistics for Each Environmental Unit in Maysan Area	72
3-14	Supervised Classification of Maysan Area in January 2016	75
3-15	Variables and Statistics for Each Environmental Unit in Maysan Area	75
3-16	Supervised Classification of Maysan Area in July 2016	76
3-17	Variables and Statistics for Each Environmental Unit in Maysan area	76
3-18	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified Satellite Images Illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan areas and neighborhoods	78
3-19	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified Satellite Images illustrates the Flood	78
2.20	Disaster in Maysan areas and neighborhoods	
3-20	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Myasan Areas and Neighborhoods	79
3-21	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified Satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan areas and Neighborhoods	79
3-22	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan areas and neighborhoods	80
3-23	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan areas and Neighborhoods	80
3-24	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan areas and Neighborhoods.	81
3 <b>-</b> 25	Sequence of ASTER 2016 Classified Satellite Images illustrates the Flood Disaster in Maysan Areas and Neighborhoods	81
3-26	Image Differencing Technique on OLI Satellite Image Shows the Floods Effected Area during January 2016.	83
3-27	Image Differencing technique on OLI Satellite image Shows the floods Effected area during January 2016.	83

3-28	Spectral Reflection Curve of Vegetation's	85
2 20	NDVI Index vector and raster maps for Landsat satellite (MSS) Images	9.6
3-29	1976	86
3-30	NDVI Index vector and raster maps for Landsat satellite (OLI) Images 2016	86
3-31	NDWI Index raster map of Landsat satellite (OLI) Images 1976	87
3-32	NDWI Index vector map of Landsat satellite (MSS) Images 1976	88
3-33	NDWI Index raster map for Landsat satellite (OLI) Images 2016.	88
3-34	NDWI Index vector map for Landsat satellite (OLI) Images 2016	89
3-35	EMI index on Landsat TM satellite year (2000).	90
3-36	EMI Index Land sat Satellite OLI, year 2016	91
3-37	Tasseled caps transformation Landsat MSS year 1976	93
3-38	Tasseled cap transformation Landsat OLI year	93
2.20	NDSDI Index Landsat OLI (2016), Grey Features Represents Sand Dunes,	0.4
3-39	Bright Features as Vegetation.	94
3-40	NDSDI Index Shows Sand Dunes in Deserts Western Study Area.	95
3-41	NDSDI Index Shows Sand Dunes Belt in Eastern Zone of Study Area	95
3-42	Change Detection in Feature Units in Percentage During (1976-2016).	96
3-43	compare of Spectacular indices of Environment components for	97
	1976(MSS) and 2016(OLI).	
4-1	Following Approach are used in this Study.	99
4-2	The General Structure of Analytical Process Making a Flood Risk Map.	101
4-3	Mean Annual Rainfall in Study Area and Neighboring Areas (mm/year	101
4-4	Topographic Map of Maysan Area	102
4-5	Slope Map in degrees of the Maysan Area.	103
4-6	Aspect Map of study area.	105
4-8	Longitudinal Relief section of Maysan Area. surface by using Global	105
	Mapper (18) Program and ASTERGDEM.(A,B, C and D)	
4-9	Slope risk unit	105
4-10	Geomorphology unit of Maysan Area.	106
4-11	Photograph of Unit (A), and ASTER Satellite Image of Unit (A).	108
4-12	Shows the Photograph of Unit (B), ASTER Satellite Image of Unit (B).	109
4-13	ASTER satellite image 2016 show unit (C).	109
4-13	ASTER satellite image 2016 show unit (D).	110
4-14	Soil classification of study area	111
4-15	Lithology of study area.	111
4-16	Shows Drainage Area and Drainage Perimeter of Study Area and Vicinity.	113
4-17	The Effect of The Shape of Hydrograph shape	114
4-18	Stream Orders of The two Basins of The Maysan Area.	115

4-19	Flow Direction Values.	116
4-20	Flow Direction Of Study area.	117
4-21	Flow accumulation of the basins of study area	118
4-22	Topography of Eastern part of study area by using ArcGIS and Arc Sen.	119
4-23	Analysis topography of eastern part by ArcGIS software	120
4-24	The Dimensional sketch of Study area	121
4-25	Flooded risk zone of study area	122
4-26	Zone of desertification hazard	124
4-27	Sand dunes (field work).	125
4-28	Map of sand dunes and result of sieve grain size analysis	126
4-29	Natural and Manmade factors of desertification risk	128
4-30	Digital process to extract lineament	130
4-31	Lineament features in the study area	131
4-32	Lineament intersection	132
4-33	Rose Diagram of lineament	132
4-34	Lineament intersection with oil field (we can show two zones of intensity	133
	intersection lineament (A&B).	
4-35	The selected Valleys within the study area	135
4-36	Calculate of (Smf) on ASTERGDEM	137
4-37	Fault related with active tectonic ((Smf)) in study area	138
4-38	False color composites (5.6.7) satellite image OLI illustrate oil field domes.	139
4-39	Crosses section on Buzurgan oil field big dome.	140
4-40	Crosses section on Buzurgan oil field small dome.	140
4-41	The depth of earthquakes in the study area.	141
4-42	The earthquakes magnitude and their risk related with population	142
	distribution.	
4-43	represented the location of earth quack and oil field with lineament	143
	intersection	
4-44	Relationship between the distribution Well of Ground Water and	144
	Intersection.	
4-45	The Main direction of flooding risk with main fault.	145
4-46	Land use and land covers map.	146
4-47	Hazard classification.	147
4-48	Risk classification	148
4-49	Flooding Risk.	150
4-50	Man-Mad Risk	153

#### List of Table

No.	Table	Page
1-1	Monthly climate data from (1980-2015).	4
1-2	Monthly rainfall (mm) for the period (1980-2016).	6
1-3	Average of temperature's (C) for period the (1980-2016).	9
1-4	Monthly of wind speed (m/s) of the period (1980-2016)	12
1-5	Monthly relative humidity (%) of the period (1980-2016).	13
1-6	Monthly of evaporation (mm) for period (1980-2016).	15
1-7	The unites of Quaternary sediment.	16
1-8	The exposed formation of tertiary sediment.	17
1-9	The stratigraphic column of Maysan area.	19
1-10	The Tectonic division of study area.	21
1-11	Geomorphologic unit of area study.	23
2-1	The data collections.	29
2-2	Land sat satellite imagery and their main characteristics used in study.	31
2-3	Location of Trips.	39
3-1	The unsupervised classification statistics results	69
3-2	Environmental area unit areas in 2016	74
3-3	Environmental area unit areas in 2012	77
3-4	EMI wind erosion grades (khairy, 2006).	91
4-1	Geomorphology unit analysis of study area.	108
4-2	Flooded zone of study area.	121
4-3	The main zone of desertification.	124
4-4	The results of the ratio of valley floor width to valley height (Vf) on the studied valleys.	136
4-5	The categoreous of Mountain front sinuosity ((Smf)) after (Bull and McFadden 1977	136
4-6	The results of calculate the mountain front (Smf)	137
5-2	Hazard Zone	147