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#### Abstract

The scattering problem for electromagnetic waves by perfect conducting bodies of revolution and unrevolution is very important for many researchers because of its complexity in concerns of its boundary conditions.

This research focuses on the enhancement of computational ability to solve the scattering problem for perfect conducting Bodies of Revolution (BoR), so it is possible to solve the problems that concern with different bodies by using the general electric field equation where Fourior techniques, which are subjected to transformation process, provide additional information about the target scattering had been taken by the use method of moment (MoM).


## 1- Introduction

Through the past forty years, when the level of target radar cross section RCS is necessary to define the exploring efficiency of the first radar system, the numerical methods has been developed to treat the electromagnetic of scattering and radiation problems from surfaces and radar cross section measurement ${ }^{[1,2]}$. RCS measurement of military pad (e.g. submarine and aircraft carrier) was of great importance in that period which should be taken into account in the basic design of the total antenna, therefore the prediction of RCS is the important part in the process of designing antenna of new system ${ }^{[3]}$ by the virtue of the speed progress in the measurement and radar technologies in addition to the signal processing technology and digital computer ${ }^{[4,5]}$.

The electromagnetic scattering from three-dimensions perfect conducting bodies of as a subject of great importance to several researchers for many years, where it leads to several practical applications in addition to the improvement of great number of analytical methods and typical technique to compute the electromagnetic scattering for different bodies ${ }^{[6,7]}$. The surface integral equation is considered as the most suitable method for the numerical solution because it represents the general method through the shorthand of three-dimensional problem to two dimensions by the arrangement of the problem in respect of unknown functions defined according to the body surface and not in respect of unknown volumetric function. If the body is conductor, the scattering problem is formulated according to current $J_{s}$ generated on the conductor body surface $S^{[8]}$. To solve such problems, method of moment MoM is used because of its superiority in giving efficient results in addition of its ability to contain all radiation conditions for orderly and unorderly bodies ${ }^{[9]}$. Furthermore, Galerkin method is used to be the most suitable for choosing the weight function of the solution especially after the development of the high speed computer of great precision ${ }^{[10]}$.

## 1-1 Scattering Problems:

The electromagnetic problems are of several types like scattering problems and radiation problems. The simplest definition of scattering problems is if the (EM) wave is incident $\bar{E}^{i}$ on some body (perfect conductor or dielectric), this wave will generate surface current density (electrical $\bar{J}_{s}$ for perfect conductor, electrical $\bar{J}_{s}$ and magnetic $\bar{M}_{s}$ for dielectric). If a magnetic wave is incident on perfect conducting body, the boundary condition is:
$\hat{n} \times \bar{E}_{\text {tan }}^{i}=-\hat{n} \times \bar{E}_{\text {tan }}^{s}$
Where $\bar{E}^{i}$ denoted the known impressed field and $\bar{E}^{s}$ the scattered field due to currents on the body surface, while the subscript (tan) denotes tangential component on $S$.
The scattered field can be expressed in terms of a magnetic vector potential $\bar{A}$ and electric scalar potential $\phi$ as:
$\bar{E}^{s}(\bar{r})=-j \omega \bar{A}(\bar{r})-\nabla \phi(\bar{r})$
Fig.(1) shows a body of revolution, generated by revolving a planar curve, around z-axis with it's coordinate explaining source point and field point, $\hat{t}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ represents vector units of the tangential and azimuthal components which satisfy that ( $\hat{t} \times \hat{\phi}=\hat{n}$ ). After compensating (2) in (1), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n} \times \bar{E}_{\mathrm{tan}}^{i}=\hat{n} \times\left[j \omega \mu \int_{s} \bar{J}(r) G\left(\bar{r}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right) d s-\frac{\nabla}{j \omega \varepsilon} \int_{s} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot \bar{J}(r) G\left(\bar{r}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right) d s\right]_{\mathrm{tan}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\omega, \mu, \varepsilon$ are the angular frequency, magnetic permeability and permittivity. $G\left(\vec{r}, \vec{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is Green's Function for Free-Space which can be defined as ${ }^{[7][11]}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\bar{r}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{e^{-j k \mid \bar{r}-\bar{r}^{\prime}}}{4 \pi\left|\bar{r}-\bar{r}^{\prime}\right|} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3) can now be stated succinctly as:
$L(\bar{J})=\bar{E}_{\text {tan }}^{i}$
Where $L$ is the integro-differential operator. Hence,
$L(\bar{J})=j \omega \mu \int_{s} \bar{J} \frac{e^{-j\left|\bar{r} \bar{r} \bar{r}^{\prime}\right|}}{4 \pi\left|\bar{r}-\bar{r}^{\prime}\right|} d s-\frac{\nabla}{j \omega \varepsilon} \int_{s} \nabla^{\prime} \cdot \bar{J} \frac{e^{-j k\left|\bar{r}-\bar{r}^{\prime}\right|}}{4 \pi\left|\bar{r}-\bar{r}^{\prime}\right|} d s$

The solution of this equation is obtained numerically by using method of moments, which is closely related to Galerkin's method ${ }^{[12]}$ with procedure as follow. A set of expansion functions $J_{j}$ is next defined, and the current on $S$ approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{J}=\sum_{j} I_{j} \bar{J}_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I_{j}$ are constant to be determined. From equations (5) and (7), and by using a set of testing functions $W_{i}$ is defined, and the inner product formula can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} I_{j}\left\langle\bar{W}_{i}, L\left(\bar{J}_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{W}_{i}, \bar{E}_{\text {and }}^{i}\right\rangle \quad(i=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define the generalized network matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\xi]=\left[\left\langle\bar{W}_{i}, L\left(\bar{J}_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

And:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\mathfrak{R}]=\left\langle\left\langle\bar{W}_{i}, \bar{E}_{\text {tan }}^{i}\right\rangle\right\rfloor}  \tag{10}\\
& {[I]=\left\lfloor I_{j}\right\rfloor} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

And rewrite eq. (8) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\xi][I]=[\mathfrak{R}] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the coefficient $I_{j}$ of the current expansion from $[I]=[Y \llbracket \Re]$, Where $[Y]=[\xi]^{-1}$ is the generalized admittance matrix.

## 1-2 Excitation Matrix [ $\mathfrak{R}$ ]:

Consider the linear measurement of the field from the current $\bar{J}_{s}$ on a body $S$ can be expressed as linear functional ${ }^{[12]}$, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { measurement }=\iint_{s} \bar{E}^{r} \cdot \bar{J} d s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\bar{E}^{r}$ is a known function, and $\bar{J}$ is surface current density. For a moment method solution, the current is given by the eq.(7) and (13) is reduced to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { measurement }=[R][I] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now one can rewrite in partitioned form as :
measurement $=\left\lvert\,\left[\begin{array}{ll}{\left[R_{n}^{t}\right]} & {\left[R_{n}^{\phi}\right.}\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}{\left[\begin{array}{l}Y_{n}^{t} \\ Y_{n}\end{array}\right]} & {\left[Y_{n}^{t \phi}\right]} \\ \left.Y_{n}^{\text {st }}\right]\end{array}\right.\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}{\left[Y_{n}^{\phi \phi}\right]}\end{array}\right]\left[\mathfrak{R}_{n}^{t}\right]\right.$
Where the sub matrices $[Y]$ are obtained after entire $[\xi]$ matrix is inverted. It has been shown that the radiation field from currents $\bar{J}$ on $S$ is given by:
$\bar{E} \cdot \hat{u}=-\frac{j \omega \mu}{4 \pi r} e^{-j k r}[R][I]$
Where $\hat{u}$ is unit vector which specifies the incident wave polarization, and $\bar{E}^{r}$ can be defined as a plane wave as the following:
$\bar{E}^{r}=\bar{E}_{\theta}^{r}+\bar{E}_{\phi}^{r}=\hat{u}_{\theta}^{r} e^{-j \bar{k} \cdot \bar{r}}+\hat{u}_{\phi}^{r} e^{-j \bar{k} \cdot \bar{r}}$
The values of $\left(R_{n}^{t \theta}\right)_{i},\left(R_{n}^{\phi \theta}\right)_{i},\left(R_{n}^{t \phi}\right)_{i},\left(R_{n}^{\phi \phi}\right)_{i}$ can be found out from equation (10) as:
$\left(R_{n}^{\alpha \theta}\right)_{i}=\iint_{s} \bar{J}_{n i}^{\alpha} \cdot \bar{E}_{\theta}^{r} d s \quad \theta-$ polarized
$\left(R_{n}^{\alpha \phi}\right)_{i}=\iint_{s} \bar{J}_{n i}^{\alpha} \cdot \bar{E}_{\phi}^{r} d s \quad \phi$ - polarized
Where $\alpha$ is $t$ or $\phi$. It is important to mention that $\left(R_{n}^{t \theta}\right)_{i},\left(R_{n}^{\phi \phi}\right)_{i}$ are even function in $n$ while $\left(R_{n}^{\phi \theta}\right)_{i},\left(R_{n}^{t \phi}\right)_{i}$ are odd in $n$. It should be noted here the excitation matrix $[\mathfrak{R}]$ differs from the measurement matrix $[R]$ only by the sign of $n$. If the incident wave is supposed to be plane wave in the direction of axis of symmetry ( $\theta=0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$ ), it is possible to write the following relation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{R}_{n}^{p q}\right)_{i}=\left(R_{-n}^{p q}\right)_{i} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p q$ represents $t \theta, t \phi, \phi \theta, \phi \phi$.

## 1-4 Radar Cross Section (RCS):

Radar scattering problem consists of a plane wave incident on a scattering body, plus measurement of far zone scattered field. Fig.(2) illustrates geometry of the problem for conducting bodies of revolution. These components for scattering and incident field are related by the scattering matrix $[S]$ of the body according to:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{E}_{\theta}^{s}  \tag{21}\\
\bar{E}_{\phi}^{s}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{e^{-j k r}}{r}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S^{\theta \theta} & S^{\theta \phi} \\
S^{\phi \theta} & S^{\phi \phi}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{E}_{\theta}^{i} \\
\bar{E}_{\phi}^{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The element of $[S]$ can be expressed as a summation over the modal components.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{p q}=\sum_{n} S_{n}^{p q} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p q$ denotes $\theta \theta, \theta \phi, \phi \theta, \phi \phi$ or the field is given by (16) and hence.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{E}_{\theta}^{s}  \tag{23}\\
\bar{E}_{\phi}^{s}
\end{array}\right]=-\frac{j \omega \mu}{4 \pi r} e^{-j k r}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(R_{n}^{t \theta}\right)_{i} & \left(R_{n}^{\phi \theta}\right)_{i} \\
\left(R_{n}^{t \phi}\right)_{i} & \left(R_{n}^{\phi \phi}\right)_{i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(I_{n}^{t}\right)_{i} \\
\left(I_{n}^{\phi}\right)_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From which it is possible to obtain:

$$
S_{n}^{p q}=-\frac{j \omega \mu}{4 \pi}\left[\left(R_{n}^{t p}\right)_{i} \quad\left(R_{n}^{\phi p}\right)_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(Y_{n}^{t}\right)_{i j} & \left(Y_{n}^{t \phi}\right)_{i j}  \tag{24}\\
\left(Y_{n}^{\phi t}\right)_{i j} & \left(Y_{n}^{\phi \phi}\right)_{i j}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Re_{n}^{t q}\right)_{i} \\
\left(\Re_{n}^{\phi q}\right)_{i}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

Where $p$ represents the received polarization and $q$ is the incident polarization. The elements of $\left(\Re_{n}\right)$ are the same of $\left(R_{n}\right)$ formulas but $(n \rightarrow-n)$ and $\left(\theta_{r} \rightarrow \theta_{t}\right)$. Radar scattering data are often presented in terms of radar cross section, defined as:
$\sigma^{p q}=4 \pi r^{2}\left|\frac{\bar{E}_{p}^{s}}{\overline{\bar{E}_{q}^{i}}}\right|^{2}$
As it is mentioned previously, $\sigma$ depends on the received polarization $p$ and incident polarization $q$, so it is possible to write equation (21) as:
$\frac{\bar{E}_{p}^{s}}{\bar{E}_{q}^{i}}=\frac{e^{-j k r}}{r} S^{p q}$
It follows from equation (21) and (25) that for a given polarization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{p q}=4 \pi\left|S^{p q}\right|^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is represented the basic equation for computing RCS. The elements of scattering matrix of equation (21) in the principle planes $\phi_{r}=0^{\circ}$ and $\phi_{r}=\pi / 2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{\theta \theta}=2 S_{1}^{\theta \theta} \cos \left(\phi_{r}\right) \\
& S^{\phi \theta}=2 j S_{1}^{\phi \theta} \sin \left(\phi_{r}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $S_{1}^{\phi \theta}, S_{1}^{\theta \theta}$ are $n=1$ modal solutions evaluated at $\left(\phi_{r}=0^{\circ}\right)^{[12]}$.

## 2- Numerical Results:

To prove the mathematical and practical treatment correctness which has been taken into account in this paper, the radar cross section (RCS) is computed for a simple body of revolution, sphere; where this example is important to satisfying the mathematical analysis correctness for its revolution. Now we take the Yehuda et. al. ${ }^{[8]}$ example of perfect conductor sphere of radius $0.2 \lambda$ which is computed by method of moment (point-matching). When comparing Yehuda et. al. results with our where we use method of moment (Galerkin), we find great correspondence between the two as shown in fig.(3).

To know the effect of body volume on (RCS), we prefer to take the same previous example (perfect conductor sphere) but with larger radius $1.0 \lambda$ so we find great correspondence in result correctness with the Yehuda et. al. results as shown in fig.(4). Now we take the complex geometrical shape and an example to study mixing process between many uniform bodies, as it in the studied examples Yehuda et. al. and Mogus, G. Andreasen ${ }^{[1]}$ that is composed sphere of two parts (radius $0.2 \lambda$ ) with cylinder (length1.1 $\lambda$ ) which compose capsule shape fig.(5).

To study the incensement of complexity elements in geometrical shapes for (BoR) Hashim, A. ${ }^{[13]}$ example is taken as shown in fig.(6) .

## 2-1 Applications

What is introduced is respected as clear and confirmed proof of programmatic mathematical analytical process validity that is used in this paper. This mentioned method is preferred to apply on two models resemble two military rocket approximately; one of them is naval and the other is air. Because of the military secrecy of certain data about this rocket and the complexity to obtain them, it is impossible to know the rocket real dimensions, their radar impress or their real physical elements. We depend her on the possible approximation of rocket shape, where the total length of any one of them is $1.5 \lambda$, So it is possible to compare our results with the results of previous studies of the same length and know the total basic impact of the changes in the samples shape as the following:

## 2-1-1 First Sample

This sample is studied as approximation for rocket shape as shown in fig. (8-a), which is approximated to fig. (8-b) to become body of revolution.

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\mathrm{R}=1.5 \lambda & \mathrm{~A} 0=1.500 * \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~L} 1=6.000 * \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{~b} 1=1.500 * a \\
=14 * \mathrm{~L}+2.5 * \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~A} 1=0.500 * \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~L} 2=6.000 * \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{~b} 2=1.250 * \mathrm{a} \\
\mathrm{~A}=\mathbf{0 . 1 8} \lambda & \mathrm{A} 2=0.500 * \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~L} 3=1.500 * \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{~b} 3=1.750 * \mathrm{a} \\
& & \mathrm{~L} 4=0.500 * \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{~b} 4=1.250 * \mathrm{a}
\end{array}
$$

If $L$, a value is chosen as reference rate by which it is possible to compare our results with previous results, by virtue of if these value are changed, a clear change will appear in the results and these value are confirmed to be taken as reference for
change and possibilities which we can study and know the rocket dimension impact with the range $1.5 \lambda$.

The important factor of this paper is to obtain the sample radar impress or what is called body RCS at these chosen dimensions by using equation (26). This is made within the range $\left(\phi_{r}=0 \rightarrow 2 \pi\right)$ to know which level of polarization has the great impact on the process of finding radar cross section area, so the result will be as shown in fig.(9) .

From the figure, we can notice that the radar cross section area is effected only at the vertical and horizontal levels, while it is decreased gradually between these two levels. In addition, we notice summit recurrence at these levels and this clarify the study of the two levels $\left(\phi_{r}=0^{\circ}\right)$ and ( $\phi_{r}=\pi / 2$ ) only to avoid the extension in computing as shown in fig. (10).

In respect of the effected factor on the RCS that is clarified previously, we find that the target geometrical shape is considered as the first factor. If the rocket head is displaced with conic instead of half-sphere but its total length is stayed the same $1.5 \lambda$, we find that there is an impact on the RCS value when we notice decreasement in its value at $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}$ levels as shown in figs.(11) and (12).

## 2-1-2- Second sample:

We take up this sample as an approximation of the rocket shape employed by the US Air Force as shown in fig (13). The major dimension which is taken into account here of this rocket are the following:

| $\mathrm{R}=1.5 \lambda$ | $\mathrm{L} 1=1.000 * \mathrm{~L}$ | b1 $=1.000$ * a | A1 $=1.000$ * a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=24 * \mathrm{~L}+13 * \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{L} 2=1.500 * \mathrm{~L}$ | b2 $=2.000$ * a | A2 $=1.000$ * a |
| $\mathbf{a}=0.025 \lambda$ | $\mathrm{L} 3=3.500 * \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{b} 3=3.000$ * a | $\mathrm{A} 3=2.000$ * a |
|  | $\mathbf{L 4}=5.500 * \mathrm{~L}$ | $\mathrm{b} 4=4.000$ * a | $\mathrm{A} 4=2.000$ * a |
|  | $\mathbf{L 5}=12.500$ * L | $\mathrm{b} 5=5.000$ * a | A5 $=5.000$ * a |
|  |  | $b 6=6.000$ * a | A6= 2.000 * a |

If equation (26) is used, we can obtain the body RCS at the previously chosen dimension and the result will be as shown in fig.(14).

In the previous case itself, we will notice from the diagram that the RCS area is affected only at horizontal and vertical levels where it is decreased gradually between these two levels and the summit recurrence at these levels. From these point, we can study the levels ( $\phi_{r}=\pi / 2$ ) and ( $\phi_{r}=0^{\circ}$ ) only as shown in fig.(15).

Now, if the rocket head is displaced with half-sphere shape instead of conic with the remain of rocket total length $1.5 \lambda$ as shown in fig. (16). When comparison is made between the same samples but one of them is of conic head and the other is of sphere head, we find that there is a great correspondence between them because of the small sample head in comparison with the first sample is shown in fig.(17), in addition to the small diagram that doesn't show all changes specialties.

## 3- Conclusions:

The results obtained in this paper RCS give a clarified extensive idea that the shape of any body in space has a great and effective impact in computing RCS area. This give the reason behind the vasted interest of the space shuttle designers in vehicle industry, specially the military one, in order to be complex to know it through received radar. For each body in space, there are shape and value for RCS which is different completely from any other body; this symbolizes the reason behind the name "radar impress".


Fig.(1): System coordinate for body of revolution.


Fig.(2): Scattering plane wave by conducting body of revolution.


Fig.(3): The radar cross section(RCS) of perfect conductor sphere of radius $0.2 \lambda$



Fig.(4): The radar cross section (RCS) of perfect conductor sphere of radius $1.0 \lambda$


Fig.(5): The radar cross section(RCS) of perfect conductor capsule shape.


Fig.(6): The parts of sample used by Hashim, A. .


Fig.(7): The radar cross section(RCS) of the sample used by Hashim, A. .


Fig.(8): The first sample with its approximates .


Fig.(9): RCS values for 3-dim. body at different angles.



Fig.(10): RCS shape at $H$ and $E$ planes .



Fig.(11): RCS shape for the same sample but with conic head instead of sphere at the $H$ and $E$ levels.


Fig.(12): Comparison between RCS shape for sphere-head rocket and conic-head rocket at $H$ and $E$ levels.


Fig.(13): Diagram shows the second sample parts.


Fig.(14): RCS values for 3-dim. body at different angles.


Fig.(15): RCS shape at $H$ and $E$ planes


Fig.(16): RCS shape for the same sample but with sphere head at $H$ and $E$ levels.


Fig.(17): comparison between RCS shape for the rocket of conic head with one of sphere head at $H$ and $E$ levels.
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# دراسة تأثير الجسم الموصل المتناظر محوريا على حساب مساحة المقطع الراداري 

م. رائد عبد الجبار يوسف مال الله<br>أ. د. زكي عبد الله أحمد<br>كلية العلوم - جامعة البصرة<br>أ. م. د. أحمد هاشم عبود<br>كلية الطب - جامعة ميسان

تعدّ مسـألة استطارة الموجـات الكهرومغناطيسية بوسـاطة الأجسام مثاليـة التوصيل ذات الأثتكال الهندسية
 يتمثل التركيز الأولي لهذا البحث تعزيز الكفاءة الحسابية لمسألة الاستطارة للجسم الموصل المتناظر محوريا
 (الكهربائيE E ، وقد زودت تقنيات Fourior الخاضعة لعملية التحويل بمعلومات إضافية حول استطارة الهذف بعد الاستعانة بطريقة العزوم MoM.

